

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/596,687	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GEVORGIAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> GERALD STEVENS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2817	

**All Participants:**

(1) GERALD STEVENS.

(2) Mike Savage.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 March 2009

**Time:** 12:30pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

29-37, 39, 50, 53

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner suggested amendments that would add more clarity to applicant's limitations. Applicant countered with a different yet equivalent amendment to which examiner has agreed to.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Gerald Stevens/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2817

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)